

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,234	03/01/2002	Ajay Kumar	5681-11700	6950
7590 07/19/2006			EXAMINER	
Robert C Kowert			HWANG, JOON H	
Conley Rose &	Tayon P C			
P O Box 398			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
Austin, TX 78	767-0398		2166	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/087,234	KUMAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joon H. Hwang	2166	

			i
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but price this application, applicant must timely file one of places the application in condition for allowance, (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) following time periods: 	r to or on the same day as f the following replies: (1) an (2) a Notice of Appeal (with	iling a Notice of Appeal. To avo amendment, affidavit, or other appeal fee) in compliance with	evidence, which a 37 CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the	mailing date of the final rejection	1.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires.			ichever is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	^o 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shoabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding a ortened statutory period for reply o	mount of the fee. The appropriate ex originally set in the final Office action;	tension fee under 37 or (2) as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brie of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements. 	or any extension thereof (37	CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the appeal.
	ciaction, but price to the data	affiling a brief will not be out	and because
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re(a) They raise new issues that would require for (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NO 	urther consideration and/or s DTE below);	search (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the applicati appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simpl	ifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without can NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37		ber of finally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37		latice of Non-Compliant Amend	ment (DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej		otice of Non-Compliant Amend	ment (F10L-324).
		d in a concrete timely filed am	andmont consoling
the non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendme how the new or amended claims would be reject.	ed is provided below or appe	red, or b)	d an explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow Claim(s) allowed: <u>10,11 and 13-16</u> .	S.		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,17-26,28-35 and 37</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.11 	good and sufficient reasons		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is not a sufficient reasons.	e of filing a Notice of Appeal ailed to overcome <u>all</u> rejection	ons under appeal and/or appella	ant fails to provide a
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An ex		•	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place t	he application in condition for a	llowance because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure State Other: 	ment(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PT0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	a 1
		Joon	ludway

PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants have overcome the 112 rejection for claims 10-11 and 13-16, thus these claims are allowed. In response to the applicants' argument that "the combination of Montero and Goldick would result in Montero's back-end database system that includes application servers maintaining session data for clients as taught by Montero, but also allows clients locked access to a server database, based on providing requesting clients lock tokens, as taught by Goldick", the combination of Montero and Goldick would result in Montero's database system with such locking management capability as taught by Goldick and allowing an application server of Montero locked access to the database system, based on providing requesting the application server a lock token. See "Response to Arguments" and 103 rejections in the Final Office Action mailed on 4/21/06 for any remaining arguments for claims 1-5, 7-8, 17-20, 22-25, and 31-34. The amended limitations, "requesting... by the distributed store" and "the process releasing... by the distributed store" in claim 26, "the process receiving a request by the distributed store..." and "the process releasing... by the distributed store" in claim 35, and "... other threads running within the process..." in claim 21 require further considerations and searches.